Application Serial No. 10/788,849 Amendment dated 2/27/2008

in Response to Office Action dated 08/27/2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the Office Action, the Examiner noted that claims 1-3, 11-14 and 28-33 are

pending in the application and that claims 28-32 are rejected. By this response, claims 28-

30 and 32 have been amended. Thus, claims 1-3, 11-14 and 28-33 are pending in the

application.

Allowed and Allowable Claims

Applicant respectfully thanks the Examiner for the allowance of claims 1-3, 11-14

and 33. Furthermore, claim 30 has been amended to incorporate respective rejected base

claims and any intervening claims and is now believed to be in allowable form.

Furthermore, claim 32 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35

U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as stated in the instant Office Action and to include all of

the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite

for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant

regards as the invention. Claim 32 has been amended to recite a "drive release

mechanism."

Hence, Applicant asserts that claim 32 overcomes the §112, second paragraph,

rejection, and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

O:\Documents\2\8264\US\02\M05 92128.doc 2/27/2008 3:31 PM

Q

Claims 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Arends, et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,306,474), in view of Wittek (U.S. Patent No. 1,796,417).

Independent claim 28 has been amended to include "a drive motor configured to move the

treadle" and to further include a limitation providing for "an input signal from the article

detector" that is received by the control circuitry to "control operation of the drive motor to

controllably move the treadle to position the article relative to the punch and the die for

synchronized severing of the article from the web." Support for the amendment can be

found at least at paragraph 19 and Figures 1 and 3. Furthermore, dependent claims 29

and 30 have been amended, respectively to include "a kinematic linkage coupled between

the treadle and a platen that supports the punch" and "wherein the kinematic linkage

comprises a rocker arm." Support for these limitations can be found at least at paragraph

48 and Figure 1.

Hence, applicants assert that claims 28-32 overcome the obviousness rejection

pursuant to the amendments made above.

Withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

For all the reasons advanced above, Applicant respectfully submits that the

application is in condition for allowance, and action to that end is respectfully requested. If

O:\Documents\2\8264\US\02\M05 92128.doc 2/27/2008 3:31 PM

10

Application Serial No. 10/788,849 Amendment dated 2/27/2008

in Response to Office Action dated 08/27/2007

the Examiner's next anticipated action is to be anything other than a Notice of Allowance,

the undersigned respectfully requests a telephone interview before issuance of any such subsequent action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 2/27/08

Keith D. Grzelak Reg. No. 37/144